

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,510	07/11/2003	Ramanathan Srinivasan	80236DPCW	4003
75	90 01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
Thomas H. Close			WEBB, GREGORY E	
Patent Legal Sta			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eastman Kodak	Company		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			1751	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			n
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/617,510	SRINIVASAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Gregory E. Webb	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	October 2005		
, ,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•		
Disposition of Claims	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are pending in the application	· on		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn nom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	t/or election requirement		
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	aror election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applic	ation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not rece	ved.	
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 442)	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
3) 🗵 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice of Informa	l Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 71103.	6) [_] Other:		

P 12/21/65

Application/Control Number: 10/617,510 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 7-12 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,491,843. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims require a more specific pH range than the claims presented in the '843. The pH range of '843 encompasses the range of the instant case and thus would render obvious the instant claims.
- 3. Claims 7-9 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,627,107. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/617,510 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

claims require a more specific pH range than the claims presented in the '107. The pH range of '107 encompasses the range of the instant case and thus would render obvious the instant claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The following art is relevant to the instant applications but does not constitute art suitable for either anticipation or rendering obvious the instant claims: Grover et al (US 5,759,917), Grover et al (US 6,689,692), and Love, Jr. et al (US 6,033,993).
- 5. Grover ('917 and '692) teaches slurries for polishing semiconductors which contain carboxylic acids. Although Grover teaches the slurry and the acid, Grover fails to teach carboxylic acids with amino functionality such as the those required by the instant claims.
- 6. Love teaches the require amino acids as set forth by the instant claims but fails to teach the use of these compounds in a slurry composition. The compositions of Love are specifically intended to remove photoresists which would teach away from the instant use of polishing a surface.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,510 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory E. Webb Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

gew